Preservation of the natural dentition and restoration of the oral cavity to a normal functional state is a primary goal in dentistry. In addition to advances in cariology and periodontics, the use of intrabone implants has provided a method for maintaining a functional oral environment. There are two types of dental implants; prosthetic implants that replace missing teeth and endodontic implants that use remaining teeth for anchorage.

The endodontic implant described by Orlay uses the root canal space in an existing tooth as a pathway for the implant to extend into the apical bone. A major advantage of the endodontic implant, in comparison with the prosthetic implant, is that it provides a closed environment that does not communicate with the oral cavity. Complete separation from the oral environment reduces the complications of periodontal breakdown often responsible for implant failures.

Frank reported the use of endodontic implants for three patients to improve crown-root ratio, thereby decreasing clinical mobility. Others have reported successful use of endodontic implants.

This article describes uses of endodontic implants to maintain compromised teeth. The technique for preparation and placement of endodontic implants is similar to that reported by Frank. The root canals were chemomechanically prepared to a minimum of a size 50 file. After canal preparation the bone preparations were done with 40 mm reamers, sizes 45 to 120 set at the desired length for the implant termination. The osseous preparations were made with increasing file sizes corresponding to that of the implant to be used. The implants were sectioned at the coronal termini and the portions of the implant to remain in the root were coated with an endodontic sealer. The implants were seated and the access openings were closed.

TREATMENT APPLICATIONS
Perforation of root and mobility

A 24-year-old man was treated at the endodontic clinic 1 year after trauma and root canal therapy on the mandibular left central incisor. The preoperative radiograph revealed a silver cone in the coronal half of the root canal perforating the lateral surface of the root (Fig. 1). Apical root resorption was also observed. The tooth exhibited class II mobility and was tender to percussion. Treatment involved removal of the silver cone, chemomechanical preparation of the root canal, and preparation and placement of an intrabosses endodontic implant through the canal into the apical bone. Apical curettage was performed during the surgical procedure and the lateral root perforation was repaired (Fig. 2). No fixation was used following implant placement and tooth mobility decreased immediately. At the 2-year evaluation, no symptoms were reported and there were no radiographic signs of pathosis associated with the implant (Fig. 3). The apical resorptive defect was still present; however, the lamina dura remained intact. Continued evaluation was recommended.

Pulpal necrosis and chronic apical periodontitis

A 69-year-old man was treated for extraction of mandibular anterior teeth and construction of a complete mandibular denture to oppose a maxillary denture. After examination, a treatment plan was devised that permit-
Fig. 2. Radiograph immediately after endodontic implant placement and perforation repair.

Fig. 3. Two-year follow-up radiograph of tooth shown in Fig. 1. No periapical pathosis noted.

Fig. 4. Preimplant radiograph of mandibular left canine. External root resorption and periapical radiolucency noted.

Fig. 5. Radiograph immediately after surgical removal of root apex and implant placement of mandibular left canine seen in Fig. 4. Apicoectomy in left central incisor.

Root fracture

A 15-year-old girl was treated after an impact injury to the maxillary anterior teeth. Radiographic examination revealed a horizontal root fracture at the junction of the coronal and middle portion of the root of the maxillary right central incisor. All maxillary anterior teeth were restored with three-quarter crowns. At the 5-year evaluation, the patient was asymptomatic and without periodontal involvement. Radiographically, no further evidence of root resorption was noted (Fig. 6). The mandibular left canine also served as an abutment for a removable partial denture.
Fig. 6. Five-year follow-up radiograph of tooth seen in Figs. 4 and 5.

Fig. 7. Periapical radiograph after trauma with arch bar stabilization present. Complete horizontal fracture noted on right central incisor approximately 3 mm below crestal bone. Teeth responded to electric pulp-testing. The maxillary right central incisor exhibited class II+ mobility. The tooth was stabilized with a labial arch bar (Fig. 7). Root canal therapy was performed 3 months later after the patient reported spontaneous pain and the tooth no longer responded to electric testing. Calcium hydroxide was used as an interim medication, followed by root canal obturation with gutta-percha and sealer.

Evaluation was made at 6-month intervals after root canal therapy. At 18 months, the tooth continued to exhibit a class II mobility. Surgical removal of the apical portion of the root and placement of an endodontic implant was then recommended. The tooth was stabilized before placement of the implant by interproximal acid-etch retained resin splint between the maxillary right lateral incisor and left central incisor (Fig. 8). The splint was removed 4 weeks after surgery.

At the 6-year evaluation, the tooth was asymptomatic and exhibited physiologic mobility and normal function. No radiographic signs of pathosis were noted (Fig. 9).

Horizontal bone loss and mobility
A 56-year-old man was examined in the Department of Periodontics. The patient had been treated for chronic periodontitis for 10 years. Maxillary central incisors, serving as part of a six-unit fixed partial...
denture, exhibited class II mobility. Radiographs showed evidence of horizontal bone loss around all anterior teeth (Fig. 10). The resulting unfavorable crown-root ratio accounted for the mobility associated with these teeth.

In view of the patient's desire to maintain his teeth as long as possible, endodontic implants were recommended for the maxillary central incisors to provide stabilization and decrease mobility. Endodontic implants (size 70) were placed (Fig. 11) and the mobility was reduced immediately. At 55 months, the patient was asymptomatic, showing no signs of continuation of the periodontal disease. The maxillary central incisors exhibited physiologic mobility (Fig. 12). No additional periodontal treatment was performed on this patient other than frequent evaluations and oral prophylaxis.

DISCUSSION

Endodontic implants can be used in a variety of situations to maintain teeth that may otherwise have to be extracted. Teeth with endodontic implants can be maintained for long periods of time. The age of a patient appears to have little influence on the overall prognosis. Implants can be used in young as well as aging patients with a similar degree of success.

The prognosis for endodontic implants after 5-year follow-up was reported as 91%, which to date is the best prognosis for any of the dental implants evaluated. Certainly not all endodontic implants are successful. Fig. 13 illustrates endodontic implants placed to decrease mobility in the maxillary central incisors after loss of periodontal support. Seventeen years after insertion, periapical radiolucencies are present with draining sinus tracts associated with the maxillary central incisors. Probing revealed periodontal pockets on the proximal surfaces of these teeth approximating the apices. The cause of failure could be microleakage at the implant/root interface or progressive periodontal breakdown. The treatment options at this time include periapical surgery with curettage and retrograde fillings at the implant/tooth interface or extraction.

We have made two observations. First, the placement of an endodontic implant results in an immediate decrease in mobility of the involved tooth. Interdental stabilization is unnecessary for some patients because the teeth exhibit almost no mobility after implant placement. Second, the degree of occlusion influences the initial
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Fig. 13. Endodontic implants immediately after placement in maxillary central incisors. A, Note presence of radiolucencies around both apices. B, Three years after implant placement, periapical areas appear normal. C, After 17 years, radiolucencies are again present around both root apices.

outcome. Teeth in a maximum occlusal interrelationship exhibit more mobility after placement of endodontic implants than those with minimal or no occlusion.

Patient selection is the most important consideration for implantation recommendations. Endodontic implants are not appropriate for all teeth that are mobile, nor will their placement resolve advancing periodontal disease. For some patients, however, endodontic implants may enable maintenance of the natural dentition.

The criteria used in patient selection for endodontic implants include:

1. Current periodontal status of the tooth. Active periodontal disease with continued loss of bone support may lead to failure of the implant, as will communication of the implant with the oral cavity as a result of soft tissue breakdown.

2. Degree of mobility. Teeth with mobility beyond physiologic limits that compromise function should be considered as candidates for implants. Splinting is another treatment option that may give the desired results without compromising the periodontium.

3. Anatomic considerations. Anatomic structures in close proximity to the apex of the root may prevent adequate extension of the implant. In addition, inclination of the root in the surrounding bone should be evaluated before implant placement.

4. Patient’s acceptance of the procedure. Endodontic implantation is presently regarded as experimental by the American Dental Association Council on Dental Materials, Instruments, and Equipment and should be explained to the patient before treatment.

The dental profession often evaluates dental therapies in terms of longevity, with the desire for treatments to last indefinitely. Physicians consider a 3- to 5-year cure rate for certain malignancies or a 7 to 10 year longevity for hip prostheses as successful treatment. Treatment of compromised oral conditions that provides a functional, natural dentition for an additional number of years should be considered appropriate and successful treatment.

CONCLUSION

Patient selection and use of endodontic endosseous implants in periodontally compromised teeth or teeth exhibiting extreme mobility due to loss of root structure or loss of bone support have been presented. Not all situations in which endodontic implants might be used are discussed; nevertheless, a variety of different instances in which endodontic implants may be applicable have been described. After treating many patients with endodontic implants, we conclude that the primary factor affecting the prognosis of treatment is patient selection.
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A simplified prosthesis for the treatment of burns to the oral cavity

University of Texas Health Science Center at Dallas, and Baylor College of Dentistry, Dallas, Tex.

Prosthetic treatment for electrical burns to the oral cavity was described by Ryan.1 Although these burns are most often caused by electricity, chemical burns are also seen. The burns often result in scar tissue formation at the oral commissure, which restricts oral opening.

A simple technique for fabrication of a splint to limit scar contracture following burns or surgery of the oral commissure is described (Fig. 1). This method deviates from the complexity of the technique of waxing and processing a prosthesis.

**TECHNIQUE**

1. Make an impression of the maxillae with irreversible hydrocolloid and pour a cast in dental stone.
2. Trim the recovered cast and make a tray using an 0.8 inch clear surgical tray material (Stalite, StaVac
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Fig. 1. Scar remains after commissuroplasty to remove scarred tissue from electrical burn.

Fig. 2. Clear surgical tray formed on master cast is used as baseplate for prosthesis.